

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,170 04/09/2001		A. L. Hagedoorn	IO-1027-US 8264		
24923	7590	10/09/2003		EXAMINER	
PAUL S MA	ADAN		LOBO, IAN J		
MADAN, MO	OSSMAN	J & SRIRAM, PC		<u></u>	
2603 AUGUS	STA. SUI	TE 700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON	-		3662		

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7-
		09/829,170	HAGEDOORN ET AL.	A
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		lan J. Lobo	3662	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  CO (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	_ <del>-</del>		
2a)	,—	is action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			į.
•	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers	·		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
40\U-	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	- h h		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Same Alla	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachmen	t(s)	-		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/829,170

Art Unit: 3662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 31 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the patent to Butler ('275).

Butler (see Fig. 2) discloses a geophone housing (10) where a first terminal (48) is positioned on a first end of the housing and a second terminal (46) is positioned on a second end.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18, 19, 44, 45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of the patent to Marschall et al ('562).

Marschall discloses (col. 1, line 64 – col. 2, line 1) treating, with a non-conductive coating, an electrical surface of at least one electrical component to render the surface electrically non-conductive. It is noted that although the electrically insulating method suggested is for a hydrophone, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the

Application/Control Number: 09/829,170

Art Unit: 3662

same procedure upon a geophone since both a geophone and hydrophone are forms of acoustic detectors.

5. Claims 1-17 and 20-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall, Jr. ('464) or McNeel ('692) when taken in view of Butler ('275).

The patents to Hall, Jr. ad McNeel each discloses a geophone with a housing, an electrically conductive terminal, a magnet and a coil resiliently mounted within the housing.

The geophone further includes a housing and a first and second terminal located on a first (same) end.

The difference between independent claim 31 and the McNeel and Hall, Jr. structures is the claim specifies that the first terminal is located on a first end and the second terminal is located on a second end. Independent claims 1, 11, 17 and 20 similarly differ over McNeel and Hall, Jr. in the "double sided" embodiment as opposed to the single sided embodiment of McNeel or Hall, Jr.

Butler teaches a directional transducer where first and second terminals are located on opposite sides or ends of the transducer housing. By configuring the transducer to include first and second terminals on opposite ends of the housing, Butler teaches that directional signals incident on the transducer from the axial direction are enforced and those signals incident upon the transducer from the perpendicular direction are suppressed.

Thus, in view of Butler's teaching of enhancing and suppressing directional signals, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify either McNeel or Hall, Jr. to an arrangement where the terminals are located on either and opposite ends of the housing so as to

Application/Control Number: 09/829,170

Art Unit: 3662

enhance the directional capabilities of the geophones of McNeel or Hall, Jr. Claims 1, 11, 17, 20 and 31 are so rejected.

Dependent claims 2-10, 12-16, 21-30 and 32-43 are specific to the geophone structure and are shown by the Hall, Jr. and McNeel patents.

### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4161. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 6:30 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4180.

\*\*\*

IAN J. LOBO PRIMARY EXAMINER